

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 STATE 199867

60

ORIGIN NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 R

66610

DRAFTED BY: S/S:FVORTIZ:HE

APPROVED BY: S/S:FVORTIZ

----- 023647

O 220107Z AUG 75 ZFF4

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION USUN NEW YORK IMMEDIATE

S E C R E T STATE 199867

NODIS

FOLLOWING REPEAT USDEL SECRETARY IN JERUSALEM SECTO 10018

21 AUG

QUOTE

S E C R E T SECTO 10018

NODIS

FOR ASSISTANT SECRETARY ENDERS - EB AND S/P - LEWIS

FROM LORD

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: OVIP (KISSINGER, HENRY A.)

SUBJECT: UNGA SPEECH

1. FOLLOWING ARE THE SECRETARY'S COMMENTS ON DRAFT
#5 KEYED TO THE NUMBERED PARAGRAPHS. HE WANTS TO
WORK ON A NEW DRAFT OPENING OF BUSINESS SUNDAY OUR TIME.
THEREFORE, YOU SHOULD TRANSMIT A COMPLETED NEW TEXT
TO US, WITH PARAGRAPHS RENUMBERED NO LATER THAN MID-
AFTERNOON SATURDAY YOUR TIME.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 199867

2. GENERAL COMMENTS

SECRETARY EMPHASIZED THAT HE WANTS YOU TO STRIVE FOR THE CLEAREST POSSIBLE ARTICULATIONS IN THE SPEECH AND TO STAY AWAY FROM IMPRECISE LANGUAGE WHICH REFLECTS BUREAUCRATIC NEGOTIATIONS. WHILE HE SEES SOME PROGRESS IN THE DRAFT, HE BELIEVES IT BEGINS TO PETER OUT AND TEND TOWARDS THE VAPID AFTER THE INTRODUCTION AND THE FIRST PART ON ECONOMIC SECURITY. THERE ARE STILL INSTANCES WHERE WE GIVE SOLUTIONS TO PROBLEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN INADEQUATELY EXPLAINED. ALSO IN SOME PLACES WE SUGGEST PROPOSALS TOO TENTATIVELY. FOR EXAMPLE, WE SHOULD NEVER SAY THAT WE MIGHT CONSIDER SOMETHING, BUT RATHER EITHER PROPOSE IT OR LEAVE IT OUT. SOMETIMES IT IS A MATTER OF MERELY USING MORE POSITIVE LANGUAGE, TICK MARKS, ETC. GENERALLY, THE SPEECH NEEDS MORE ELEVATION AND DRIVE.

3. INTRODUCTION

THE SECRETARY IS RELATIVELY SATISFIED WITH THE INTRODUCTION. WE ARE REDRAFTING IT TO REFLECT HIS COMMENTS AND WE WILL SEND YOU THIS NEW VERSION SHORTLY. OTHERWISE YOU SHOULD WORK FROM THE TEXT OF DRAFT #5.

4. COMMENTS KEYED TO NUMBERED PARAGRAPHS

PARAGRAPH #33. THE THIRD SENTENCE WHICH SAYS THAT "THE WORLD COMMUNITY CANNOT ALLOW, ETC" IS TOO STRONG. THIS IS NOT ENTIRELY A MATTER OF INTERNATIONAL RESPONSIBILITY. HIGHLIGHT MORE THE FACT THAT CONCERTED ACTION IS NEEDED. THIS IS WHY THE U.S. IS WILLING TO COOPERATE WITH THE INDUSTRIALIZED NATIONS ON ECONOMIC RECOVERY. THIS IS WHY WE WORK ON THE PROBLEM OF DEVELOPMENT.

PARAGRAPH #35. MAKE MORE SPECIFIC THE REFERENCES TO THE CONSULTATIONS WE HAVE BEEN HAVING WITH OUR PARTNERS.

PARAGRAPH #37. INDICATE THAT THE DIALOGUE MAY NOW TAKE PLACE.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 199867

PARAGRAPHS #39 THROUGH 45.

HE WANTS TO MOVE THIS SECTION ON FOOD SECURITY INTO THE COMMODITIES SECTION LATER ON. IT IS IN THIS SECTION WHERE THE BUFFER STOCK IDEA CAN BE STRESSED.

RE: PARAGRAPH #40, WE MUST EXPLAIN AGAIN WHAT WE

WANT TO ACHIEVE WITH THE FOOD RESERVES, E.G.,
LETTING THE MARKET OPERATE BUT USING BUFFER STOCKS.

RE: #41, WHAT IS THE RATIONALE FOR THE GOAL OF 60
MILLION TONS? THIS SHOULD BE EXPLAINED BRIEFLY.

RE: #43, HE COMMENTS THAT THIS IS ALL THE MORE REASON TO
PUT THIS SECTION INTO THE COMMODITIES SECTION. BUT, OF
COURSE, WE WOULDN'T NEGOTIATE THE MAJOR AGREEMENT JUST
TO SET AN EXAMPLE. THIS IS USEFUL BUT NOT AN END IN ITSELF.

RE: #44, WHAT SPECIAL ARRANGMENTS DO WE MEAN FOR THE DEVEL-
OPING COUNTRIES? THIS IS NOW TOO VAPID. RE: #45, THIS JUST
DOESN'T TRACK.

PARAGRAPH #47. AFTER THIS PARAGRAPH AND BEFORE OUR
PROPOSAL WE NEED A SECTION ON WHAT WE ARE TRYING
TO DO -- INCOME STABILIZATION RATHER THAN PRICE FIXING.
ONLY THEN WILL THE SUBSTANCE OF THE PROPOSAL BE
UNDERSTOOD.

PARAGRAPH #48. RE: "100 PERCENT OF THEIR IMF QUOTAS",
WHAT DOES THIS ACTUALLY MEAN FOR THOSE WHO NEED IT MOST?
HE WANTS ENDERS TO PROVIDE SOME ILLUSTRATIVE FIGURES.
ALSO EXPLAIN BETTER WHAT IS NEW HERE CONCERNING BOTH
THE CONCEPT AND THE PROBLE.

PARAGRAPH #49 RE: IMPLEMENTING THE PROPOSALS, ON WHAT
DOES THIS DEPEND? IN THAT CASE, STATE HOW WE PROPOSE
THAT IMPLEMENTATION BE ACHIEVED.

RE: "MINIMUM OF MARKET INTERFERENCE", THIS DOESN'T
IMPRESS THE LDC'S UNLESS YOU FIRST EXPLAIN WHY.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 STATE 199867

PARAGRAPH #50. RE: SECOND SENTENCE ON INDUSTRIAL
COUNTRIES, WHY DOES IT MEAN THIS; IT IS OF LITTLE
HELP TO THEM. AS A GENERAL COMMENT AFTER PARAGRAPH
#50 HE NOTES THAT THE SECTION PETERS OUT AFTER THE
FIRST FEW PAGES.

SOMEWHERE IN THE GROWTH SECTION HE WANTS A PARAGRAPH ON
THE HARMFUL EFFECTS OF OPEC PRICE RISES ALONG THE LINES
THAT IT UNDERMINES GROWTH IN THE DEVELOPED COUNTRIES,
EATS UP FOREIGN AID FOR THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES. IF
ONE IS GOING TO TALK ABOUT TRANSFER OF WEALTH IT MUST
BE DONE IN THE CONTEXT OF ECONOMIC GROWTH; THIS IS BETTER
THAN METHODS WHICH MEAN CONFRONTATION.

PARAGRAPH #55: THE SECTION NEEDS A MORE THEORETICAL BEGINNING IN ORDER TO EXPLAIN AND PUT IN CONTEXT THIS PARAGRAPH. ALSO NEXT TO PARAGRAPH 59 HE NOTES THAT WE SHOULD EXPLAIN BETTER AT THE BEGINNING OF THIS SECTION WHY WE ARE PLACING MORE STRESS ON INVESTING FACILITIES.

PARAGRAPH #60: SECRETARY UNDERSTANDS THAT THIS PART IS BEING CHANGED.

PARAGRAPH #61: THE SECRETARY DOESN'T UNDERSTAND THIS AND SUGGESTS THAT MAYBE IT SHOULD BE REDUCED TO A TICK MAJC.

PARAGRAPH #62: IS TREASURY ABOARD?

PARAGRAPH #65: THE SECOND SENTENCE IS CONDESCENDING. JUST STATE THE PROBLEM AND WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE.

PARAGRAPH #66: RE THE SECOND SENTENCE, WHAT CENTERS FOR WHAT PURPOSE? BE MORE SPECIFIC. RE CONSULTATIVE GROUP IN FIRST TICK MARK, THIS IS COMPOSED OF WHOM, TO DO WHAT?

PARAGRAPH #67: DELETE "THE GROSS ACT OF INTERNATIONAL IRRESPONSIBILITY." THERE IS A GENERAL COMMENT HERE
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 05 STATE 199867

THAT THIS TOO THREATENS TO TURN VAPID. RE INTERNATIONAL ENERGY INSTITUTE, THIS MUST BE TURNED INTO A PROPOSAL. RE JOINT WORKING GROUP AT THE END OF PARAGRAPH, THE RATIONALE IS NOT CLEAR.

PARAGRAPH #68: DELETE FIRST SENTENCE. RE MULTINATIONAL CORPORATIONS, THE SECRETARY QUERIES WHETHER THIS SHOULD BE IN THIS SECTION. THIS IS AN AGNOSTIC COMMENT.

PARAGRAPH #75: EXPLAIN IN A SENTENCE OR TWO WHY THIS WOULD BE COUNTER PRODUCTIVE.

PARAGRAPH '76: EXPLAIN AND MAKE SPECIFIC THE PROPOSAL ON INSURANCE. RE "REEXAMINE THIS AND OTHER MEASURES", GIVE EXAMPLES OF THE LATTER.

PARAGRAPH #81: THE SECRETARY QUERIES WHETHER THIS SHOULD NOT BE EITHER IN THE COMMODITY OR PRICE STABILIZATION SECTION.

PARAGRAPH #82: THE ANALYSIS IS NOT VERY PERCEPTIVE AND NEVER VERY ELEVATED.

PARAGRAPH #85: DELETE "WHETHER THIS IS FEASIBLE AND APPROPRIATE" AS BUREAUCRATIC WAFFLE.

PARAGRAPH #87: WHAT IS THE MEANING OF THE WORD "EQUALLY."

PARAGRAPH #89: THIS SECTION DOESN'T SOUND VERY GENEROUS. THE LAST TWO SENTENCES COULD BE SOMEWHAT CLEARER.

PARAGRAPH #90: IN SECOND SENTENCE STATE AS A SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATION RATHER THAN "MIGHT BE FEASIBLE AND DESIRABLE". RE LAST SENTENCE, EXPRESS MORE CLEARLY AND DELETE "WE MIGHT CONSIDER" AND USE FORMULATION LIKE "WE ARE PREPARED TO"

PARAGRAPH #91: RE FIRST SENTENCE EXPLAIN WHY THAT IS IMPORTANT.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 06 STATE 199867

PARAGRAPH #92: IN FIRST SENTENCE DELETE "WILL NOT BE GRANTED AND" AND SUBSTITUTE BEFORE RELINQUISH "ARE PREPARED TO". RE LAST SENTENCE, SECRETARY QUESTIONS WHETHER THE WORD "RECIPROCITY" IS CORRECT.

PARAGRAPH #93: THE SECTION NEEDS A THEORITICAL BEGINNING.

PARAGRAPH #94 ETC: TO WHAT PROBLEMS ARE THESE SOLUTIONS RELEVANT.

PARAGRAPH #96: RE SECOND SENTENCE, DO YOU THINK ANYONE KNOWS WHAT THIS STANDS FOR?

PARAGRAPH #98: THIRD SENTENCE SHOULD BEGIN "ON THIS BASIS WE WISH TO MAKE A NUMBER OF PROPOSALS." RE LAST TICK MARK, THIS DOES NOT MAKE ANY SENSE HERE.

SHOULD BE IN THEORITICAL SECTION.

PARAGRAPH #100: DELETE SECOND SENTENCE WHICH IS VAPID.

PARAGRAPH #101: THERE SHOULD BE A SENTENCE OR TWO AT THE END ON WHY WE HELP. SOME ELEVATION IS NEEDED HERE.

PARAGRAPH #107: RE LAST SENTENCE, HOW DOE WE DO THIS? SEPARATE IT FROM GOLD ISSUE? EXPLAIN.

PARAGRAPH #109: LAST TICK MARK IS REPITITIOUS.

PARAGRAPH #110: RE SECOND SENTENCE, THE SECRETARY DOESN'T CARE WHAT THE EXPERTS BELIEVE. WHAT DO WE RECOMMEND? GENERALLY IN THIS PARAGRAPH THE PROBLEMS TO WHICH THESE SOLUTIONS ARE ADDRESSED IS NOT CLEAR.

PARAGRAPH #111-112: ALL OF THIS IS FINE BUT SOME PROPOSALS SHOULD BE TRANSFORMED INTO TICK MARKS SO THAT THEY STAND OUT.

PARAGRAPH #116: AGAIN, THERE ARE SOLUTIONS WITHOUT A PROBLEM.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 07 STATE 199867

PARAGRAPH #118: RE LAST SENTENCE, BETTER MAKE CLEARER WHAT YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT.

PARAGRAPH #120: AT THE END OF THE FOURTH SENTENCE ADD A PHRASE LIKE "SUCH AS IN THE PRODUCER/CONSUMER DIALOGUE HOPEFULLY ABOUT TO START". TOWARD THE END THE SECRETARY QUERIES WHETHER THIS IS SAYING ANYTHING WHICH ISN'T OBVIOUS.

5. FINAL COMMENT: AGAIN, REMEMBER TO NUMBER PARAGRAPHS AND TRIPLE SPACE.
KISSINGER
UNQUOTE MAW

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: TEXT, SECTO, CAT-A, SPEECHES, INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 22 AUG 1975
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: greeneet
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE199867
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: S/S:FVORTIZ:HE
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: N750003-0354
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 2
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750826/aaaaawnv.tel
Line Count: 309
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN NODS
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: NODIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 6
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: NODIS
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: greeneet
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 01 JUL 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <01 JUL 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <10 NOV 2003 by greeneet>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: LOCK1
Status: NATIVE
Subject: UNGA SPEECH
TAGS: OVIP, PORG, PFOR, UNGA, (KISSINGER, HENRY A)
To: USUN NEW YORK
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006